File No 10
Sls: 1-4.

1871
(S R)

Section S.R
File No 10-1871
Serial No 1-4.

Court fees Lables in Mysore.

Indexed

No. 46.

S—77

1872.

From The Secy: to the Govt of Indian
Financial Department
Fort William

To

Dated 25 January 1872
Despatched 31 January 1872
Received 1 February 1872

Inclosures No

Serial No. 537

1871-72.

No.

Section P. R.
File No 10-71
Serial No 1

Intimates that the new Cou
Fee labels are now ready fo
distribution.

Copy forwarded to Madras
Bombay & Bengal

Issued to Court Fees Office

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

SEPARATE REVENUE.
Stamps.

Section and File No.	

DINGS.

G.R.S.M—10,000—12-2-17

Dated, Fort William, the *January* 1872.

Received

O. S. G. P.—No. 8409 C. F.—24-1-72—66.

No. 537.

FI. EPARTMENT.

SEPARATE REVENUE.
Stamps.

To The Chief Commissioner Mysore

FORT WILLIAM, *the 25th January* 1872.

SIR,

I am directed to inform you that the new Court Fee labels are now arrived and are ready for distribution. It will be perceived, however, from my letter No. 3264, dated 22nd December 1871, that, except in a few cases, it [illegible] under the [illegible] ent i[illegible]

2. I am directed, therefore, to reque[illegible] the noti[illegible] under Section 27 *(b)* of the Court Fees' Act, proposed in my letter above quoted, may be issued, so as to enable the Governor General in Council to issue, under Section 26 of the Act, a notification authorizing the denoting of any fee by adhesive stamps alone.

I have the honor to be,

SIR,

Your most obedient Servant,

Secretary to the Government of India.

Copy forw[illegible] day for information.

1

4 S-66.

Section
File No. 10-71
Serial No. 2

From 1872.
The Officer in charge of the Govt. Financial Department Fort William

Dated 19 April 72 | 30 | 24
Despatched
Received 26 do. No.
Reg. 29th do.

Enclosures No. 2

General No. 2844 | 1872-73

No.

Acknowledges letter [illegible] with the [illegible]
1st Inst. requesting [illegible]
rules for issue under Sec. [illegible]
176 of the Court Fees Act [illegible]
of 1870 and covering certain [illegible]
orders and [illegible]

Dr. 2551 | 64 8-
Cr.

Arrest

"Process Service Fund". The revenue derived from the sale of Stamps on account of Processes ~~are~~ is to be credited to the ordinary revenues of Mysore; such separate account only being kept of the re"ts and charges as will ~~materials~~ of ~~omitting~~ the report calld. for financial Circular ~~No~~ 1379 dated 30th June 1871 Regarding

I have the honor to be
Sir

The 19 April 1872

Your

Offg Secy to the Govt of India

1874



1872

From

The Under Secy to the Govt of
Financial Depte
Calcutta

Dated 30 August.

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 3015

No. 24.

1872/73

Acknowledges receipt
letter No 2844 of 19 April la
and submits for consideration
and orders further particular
together with an amended d
notification, relative to the r
duction of Court Fees Label
Impose.

No 3015
24

Mysore Chief Commr's Office
Bangalore 30th August 1892

The Under Secretary to the Govt of
Financial Depart

Sir,

I am directed by direct
the Chief Commissioner to acknowle
the receipt of your letter No 2844 of th
April last, and with reference to t
orders therein communicated, to
for the consideration & further orde
H.E. the Viceroy and Governor Ge
in Council the following particulars
together with an amended draft Notif
court fee stamps in Mysore.

2. It will be observed that rule
para III of the original Notification
submitted with this Office
No 5757 of the 1st March 1892, and
having been approved by Govt in the
under acknowledgement, is embo
in the amended draft now subm
seems inconsistent with Notificatio
Financial Dept No 2845 of 19th
1892 published in the Gazette
of 20th Idem, part I. in which
laid down that the stamps
to denote any fee chargable
the Court Fees act may be either
impressed or adhesive or partly im
and partly adhesive, and further

3rd "When the exact amount of any fee chargable under the Court Fees act can be denoted by a single label of the description now prescribed, such fee shall be denoted accordingly. When the exact amount of the fee cannot be denoted by a single label, a label of the next lower value shall be in any deficiency made up by one or more labels similarly selected, the highest available value being used first."

the amount of the Fee cannot-
ested by a single impressed or
e adhesive stamp, the next lower
ued or adhesive stamp shall
ed and the deficiency made up
e or more additional stamps
h may be either impressed or
sive. I am to add that ~~it~~ the proposed
edure, if authorized, would be a
convenient arrangement to the
ic than the one provided for in the
already quoted, as impressed
mp papers are sold through vendors
arious public places, whereas the
Fee labels will, according to the rules
scribed, be sold only at the Courts
~~and are to be kept~~ now in use in My
that the impressed stamps are en-
ssed Colombes ~~and the labels~~
g the special description given in the
Notification, but in the 2nd Notification
y quoted, the former are described as
ed & black printed stamps" and the
Fees labels, as having the words
t Fees" printed across them.
A draft circular memo of
e V of the original draft Notifica-
will be issued with the amended
when sanctioned, as suggested
your letter under reference.

Yours &c
J. Pilcher

Wn. 72

but steps are being
taken to issue
Duce specimens
bi-coloured stamps
with Kew values in blue
on an orange
ground.
4 The labels

To be issued
with the Gazette
P.O. Circular

Note - a copy
to Bombay PO



in the dft rules but
sanctioned Ltr
Sept. In the Note fr
Calcutta of the 5th D
No. 2845 & 19 April
1872 wh at present
heads those rules
Dft to the Dept Acc
Genl. - Inform Imm
of the orders passed
by Col. Meade on th
objections raised by
Imm in his letter No.
3909 & 20 July - (2) of
the sanction accorde
Ltr Govt of India i
the revised rules su
-mitted by Imm with
his letter No 16 of the
17th of Augt last, an
(3) of the action
taken - and requ
Imm to
Ct Fee
fever

instructions, so that
the exclusive use of
these labels may be
introduced from the
1st of July next -
Before informing the
Judl. Comr. of Col Meade's
orders in regard to the
objection raised by Imm
to the rules, and before
questioning Imm to specify
the necessary alterations
in the Govt Officio Vendors at
each Ct. - It will be
desirable to draw
up some subsidiary
rules, so that the new
system [illegible] esc
[illegible] vendors are re
[illegible]

x Lyall as Joint Sole
on such Offices -
In other Provinces
Inquiries of Cts, who wd
be appointed as Vendue
Commissr receive &
pass always done
so, but in Bengal
this has not been
attended to the practice
In addition to rule
5 of the original
Rules submitted
to the Supt Authorities
& already ordered
to be strictly observed
Subsidiary rule -
Draw up Rules to
the following effect
The Officer appointed

the Days of each
Sessions or the Officer
who transacts the
duties of a Deputy
when sent to call

All Justices offend-
ed are careful
to require a due
amount of security from
the Officer appointed
Bail Costs & Fees &c.
under Rule 4 this
will be necessary
even the actual
of many of such
sums cast any
remain in the hands
of such Officer
Constables must [illegible]

to insure the following
directions of the
Secty of March -
's all Cts are for the
most part situated
ction can des -
nces of the Reasons
: Presiding Offic
: be careful to
: it the incidents
actual current
equirements & to
make such indents
frequently so that
the amt of Labels
at any time in
the Official Vendues
Stands may always
be small - Finding
Sentences of many
It A -

be made diff er
as frequently as
possible -
On each fresh inde
In Stamps the Presi
Officer of each Ct wi
be required to
that he has esca
the accts and th
of Stamps and
in the hands
Official Vouch
Ct and has fo
them correct -

Send a copy
subsidiary ledg
Dept Acct for
the report the
will be good e
to submit a form
voucher
and the
book

It shall be made
in the accompanying
form

[illegible]
Steam Star Arcadia -
Specimen of the Ct and
Returns Officers penalties -
Hong Kong 9 June 1912
Washington D.C. 19th Dec 1911
Sir, I have the honour to acknowledge
the receipt of your letter of 24th ult
with enclosures, and to state that
I will submit the Returns as required
also Stamps with duty cancelled to
the amount of $ 8.00 as per
[illegible]

No 3903

To

The Collector

Mysore.

Financial Departt Sir,

In reply to your letter, No 3015, da
August 1872, I am directed to inform you tha
the President in Council is pleased to
on the proposed rules for the vend of C
fee labels in Mysore.-

2 I am to enquire whether there is
sufficient supply of Court fee Adhesive
in Mysore to enable the Govt of Inde
prohibit the use of impressed Stamp

I have the honor
Sir,
Your most Obedt

Fort William,
the 9th November 1872

H Dunbar
Offg Under Secy to the Go

51

[illegible] to keep them
[illegible], if it be
[illegible], as seems
[illegible], to
[illegible] prohibit the use of
Stamped papers for same
Uses — The paper is
[illegible] manufactured
[illegible] sells, the labels
[illegible] to be sold free
[illegible] at the counter [illegible]
of Inland Revenue
Offices as ordered
by Act —
No labels can be
issued at pt
J. Mostyn
Asst C
16/11/72
PS. The St Dramm
[illegible] the Counter cancelling
[illegible] labels
[illegible] allowed

[illegible] - after reporting
to C/Rs - with letter
[illegible] & stamps from Asst C
15.11.72 JP.
To Mins
Hawkins.

[illegible]

72

I shall be obliged if
the Dept Auct Genl will
favour me with a note
of the words & letters
in Para 2. and whether
the adhesive stamps,
if such have been
already distributed
to the Offices available
to the public — Rtle
15/11

To Asst C. accordingly
Hawkins
15/11

We have at present
[illegible] of C.T. labels
[illegible] estimated
- 12 millions